



P.O. Box 5014
Portland, ME 04101

January 11, 2018

Re: Opposition to SB 1304/HB 1033: Dockless Bicycle Sharing

Dear Legislator,

The North American Bike Share Association (NABSA) connects the biggest minds in bikeshare to support, promote and enhance bikeshare across North America. NABSA is the bikeshare industry's membership organization with representation from system owners, operators, host cities, equipment manufacturers and technology providers.

On December 19, 2017, Florida State Senator Dana Young introduced [SB 1304](#): Dockless Bicycle Sharing in the Florida Senate, and on December 18th, 2017, Representative Jackie Toledo introduced the same bill, [HB 1033](#), in the Florida House.

As the industry experts representing a wide range of the bikeshare industry, NABSA would like to express its strong opposition to this bill. This bill is bad for bikeshare, it's bad for cities, and it's bad for citizens.

Bikeshare is an affordable, efficient, healthy, and sustainable form of public transportation used mostly for short, point-to-point, trips. Anyone can rent a bike, ride it, and then return it back into the system service area. Cities across the country, both big and small, adopt bikeshare to: provide low-cost transportation; complete transit networks; resolve the 'first and last mile' problems; provide an accessible means for physical activity; reduce traffic congestion; improve air quality; and stimulate economic development.

Bikeshare has been successful in hundreds of cities and towns across the country because of strong local involvement. This bill handicaps Florida municipalities by preventing them from protecting the safety and welfare of their citizens.

This bill is problematic because:

- It preempts local control over bikeshare implementation
- It preempts local control over the public right of way

- It preempts local control over safety standards
- It does not outline sufficient safety standards for shared public-use bicycles
- It preempts local control over bikeshare operational requirements
- It does not outline sufficient operational requirements
- It does not address privacy protection of sensitive customer data
- It negates the investment and success of currently operating bikeshare systems that have a proven track record of success

While we have seen the dockless bikeshare model contribute to the shared mobility landscape, we have also seen very real challenges around parking and maintenance, as the attached pictures from Seattle demonstrate.

Seattle and Dallas-- two cities who have experimented with dockless bikeshare-- have experienced bicycles left in the public right of way, inhibiting pedestrian and wheelchair passage, as well as thrown into heaps, placed in trees or hung on the tops fences, and have experienced significant complaints regarding broken bikes. Seattle and Dallas are both cities that have determined that increased local regulation is necessary to combat these challenges.¹

Local regulation and requirements regarding right of way management, rebalancing,² fleet size minimums and maximums, and customer service are needed to combat the right of way infringements and safety hazards (demonstrated in the attached photos). One could make the parallel with bus operators. A city needs to regulate bus operation to ensure the safety of the users, minimum quality standards, the usage of right of way, and to avoid chaos.

As written, SB 1304/HB 1033 would remove the power of any local Florida governmental entity to regulate dockless bikeshare in these much needed ways. Bikeshare is not a one-size-fits-all solution. The success of bikeshare depends on local knowledge and expertise. Local decision-makers must have the ability to enact requirements for bikeshare that best meet their needs while allowing them to achieve their cities' goals.

In addition, this bill lacks the following:

- Sufficient safety standards. 16 C.F.R. part 1512 is a regulation for privately purchased bicycles-- a very different equipment lifecycle and use than commercial public-use bicycles-- and no minimums for maintenance checks or working components are currently outlined.
- Protections for sensitive customer data or requirements for public availability of anonymized and/or aggregated data that could aid in decision-making for public good.

¹ For examples, see <https://nextcity.org/daily/entry/seattle-dockless-bikeshare-pilot-regulation>, <http://cw33.com/2018/01/01/bike-overshare-with-5-companies-in-dallas-already-one-man-has-hit-social-media-gold/>, <https://www.geekwire.com/2017/photos-reveal-worst-parking-jobs-bike-share-bicycles/>.

² Rebalancing is the manual redistribution of bikes within a system necessary to manage bike supply at specific geographic locations.

Furthermore, although there is no initial cost for dockless bikeshare equipment, there are many costs incurred by dockless bikeshare host cities, such as:

- Planning and coordinating a bikeshare system launch and expansion
- Monitoring and impounding bicycles when they are left blocking the right of way
- Storing bicycles when they are impounded
- Retrieving bicycles out of hard-to-reach locations
- Monitoring bicycle safety
- Responding to civic complaints when customer service is not adequately handled by the bikeshare company
- Police reports and investigations when bikes are stolen, vandalized, or used to commit other crime
- Use of the public right of way- a monetized asset in many places

The current bill language does not allow a municipality to tax, license, or revenue-share with bikeshare companies. Cities need a way to offset these costs with taxes or fees, as well as potentially gain through revenue sharing agreements.

There are existing bikeshare systems in Florida that have a proven track record of success. As it is written, this bill would preempt any existing contracts and contract provisions that municipalities have with existing bikeshare providers. This negates the investment and success of these bikeshare systems currently providing important services in their communities. NABSA is supportive of expanding bikeshare options, not shrinking them.

To adopt a statewide policy-- and one as insufficient as this which neglects to address important safety and privacy concerns, as well undermines the current success of responsible bikeshare systems-- would be a terrible mistake. The success of bikeshare, the safety of riders, and the quality of the service, depend on local management and decision-making authority.

The North American Bikeshare Association and its allies strongly urge you to oppose this bill in favor of maintaining local decision-making authority.

Thank you,



Samantha Herr, Executive Director, North American Bikeshare Association
For its board and members
executivedirector@nabsa.net
207-370-9836

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L. Bailey'.

Linda Bailey, Executive Director, National Association of City Transportation Officials

Dockless Bikeshare Challenges





Board of Directors

Dianna Ward, President
Charlotte BCycle

Kristen Camareno, Vice President
Fort Worth Bike Sharing

Kim Lucas, Secretary
District Department of Transportation

Adrian Popovici, Treasurer
PBSC Urban Solutions

Sean Wiedel, Immediate Past President
Chicago Department of Transportation

Mitch Vars
Nice Ride Minnesota

Steve Hoyt-McBeth
Portland Bureau of Transportation

Lindsey G. West
Bantam Strategy Group

David White
Pittsburgh Bike Share

Kären Haley
Indianapolis Cultural Trail

Aaron Ritz
City of Philadelphia

Mirte Mallory
WE-cycle

Lee Jones
BCycle LLC

Peter Topalovic
City of Hamilton



Members

Alta Planning + Design	Dropbike, Inc.	Seattle Department of
Ant Bicycle Inc.	Explore Bike Share -	Transportation
Bantam Strategy Group	Memphis	Serco Inc.
Bewegen Technologies	Fort Worth Bike Sharing	Shift Transit
Inc.	Gonbike	Social Bicycles/JUMP
BCycle LLC	Gotcha Bike	Mobility
Bike Share of	GREENbikes SLC	Softwheel LTD
Austin/Austin Bicycle	Houston B-cycle	Spin
Bikeshare of Hawaii/Biki	Indianapolis Cultural	Toronto Parking
Bi-State Development	Trail/PACERS Bikeshare	Authority/Bike Share
Bixi	King-Meter Technology	Toronto
BKT Bicipublica	Los Angeles County	Transit
Boise GreenBike	Metropolitan	Ubike Technologies North
BublR (Midwest Bike	Transportation Authority	America Inc
Share)	LimeBike	Urban Infrastructure
Bikeplus	Louisville Metro	Partners
Charlotte Bicycle	Mobike	Urbike
Chicago Department of	Motivate	VeoRide
Transportation/Divvy	nextbike, inc.	We-cycle
City of Austin	Nice Ride Minnesota	Zagster
City of Hamilton	NN2 Corridor	Zyp Bikeshare
City of Long Beach	Noa Technologies	
City of Philadelphia	Ofo US	
City of Vancouver	P3 Global Management	
Clean Energy Coalition –	PATH Hawaii	
ArborBike	PBSC Urban Solutions	
County of	Pittsburgh Bike Share	
Arlington/Arlington Capital	Portland Bureau of	
Bikeshare	Transportation	
Cyclehop	Quality Bicycle Parts	
District of Columbia	Sacramento Area Council	
Department of	of Governments	
Transportation	San Antonio Bike Share	
DecoBike Miami LLC	San Francisco Municipal	
Detroit Bike Share	Transportation Agency	